

**From:** [Stan Taylor](#)  
**To:** [Water Draft Permit Comment](#)  
**Subject:** C & H Hog Farm  
**Date:** Friday, March 17, 2017 4:39:08 PM

---

Katherine Williams

First my compliments to ADEQ for conducting it's regulatory business based on science and not emotion. I would speak in favor of giving C&H a Reg. 5 permit and all the lands applied for to land apply it nutrients. It should go without saying, the more surface area you have to disperse the waste, the better it would be. It gives occasion to apply at a "lighter" application rate so that nutrients are fully absorbed by the grass, or if you apply based on NRCS "best management practice" rates to get full nutrient absorption to the plant, you could rotate the application with some years no application to designated fields, thereby giving the grass extra time to absorb the nutrients and the depletion of a nutrient "build-up". It only makes sense that in the event of an emergency or the need, the land permitted would be available.

Thank you for your consideration.

Stanley Taylor  
HC 30 Box 58  
Pelsor, AR 72856